

Answer (Sen. 12. 2017) In. A.52-571

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

X

RAUL MATOS,


Index #151150/2017

Plaintiff,

ANSWER

STEPHEN HIRALDO

Defendant.



X

Defendant STEPHEN HIRALDO answers the Complaint as follows:

1. Defendant denies knowledge of information sufficient to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
2. Defendant admits the information contained in paragraph 2 Complaint.
3. Defendant denies knowledge of information sufficient to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
4. Defendant denies knowledge of information sufficient to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
5. Defendant denies knowledge of information sufficient to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
6. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
7. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
8. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
9. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
10. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

11. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
12. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
13. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
14. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
15. Defendant denies knowledge of information sufficient to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
16. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
17. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
18. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
19. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
20. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
21. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

22. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
23. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.
24. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
25. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.
26. Defendant denies knowledge of information sufficient to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.
27. Defendant denies the information contained in paragraph 27 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
Failure to State a Cause of Action

28. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR AN SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
Self Defense

29. Plaintiff is barred from recovering because Defendant was acting in self defense.

AS AND FOR AN THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
Failure to Mitigate Damages

30. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate damages.

Dated: New York, New York
August , 2017

Stephen Hiraldo
Stephen Hiraldo (Stephen Hiraldo)
Defendant
161 West 108th Street
New York, New York 10025
(929) 431-8753

TO: Richard J. Katz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street – 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 233-1515